

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,025	10/16/2001	Horst Dollinger	1/1154	5630
28501 75	590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD			WANG, SHENGJUN	
P. O. BOX 368			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RIDGEFIELD,	RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877		1617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/981,025	DOLLINGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shengjun Wang	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for R ply					
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the provisions of the	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro the, cause the application to become ABANDON	ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	Pagagagive to communication(s) filed on 21	July 2002				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
·	,—		recognition as to the marits is			
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 21-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,21-27 and 32</u> is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31</u> is/are objected to.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
	on Papers		•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)						
si 3	ince a specific reference was included in the fit CFR 1.78.	irst sentence of the specification of	or in an Application Data Sheet.			
) The translation of the foreign language p	• •				
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes eference was included in the first sentence of t					
Attachmen	t(s)	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted July 21, 2003 is acknowledged.

The amendments and remarks are persuasive as to the rejections set forth in the prior office action. Particularly, the claims as amended directed to compounds unobvious over the cited references, the composition containing the same, and process of making the compounds.

1. Claims 1-16, 21-25, and 32 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86), claims 28-31, directed to the process of making or using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, claims 28-31 are now subject to being rejoined. Claims 28-31 are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Since all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement made in Paper No. 4 is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 29-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,025

Page 3

Art Unit: 1617

4. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification, or the claims, lacks proper written description as to how the claimed method would treat neurokinin-mediated disorders. Particularly, applicants fail to provide information to ascertain these disorders.

- 5. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the particularly disorders recited in claims 29-31, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating other disorders, which may be mediated by neurokinin. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The instant specification fails to provide information that would allow the skilled artisan to practice the instant invention without undue experimentation. Attention is directed to In re Wands, 8 USPQ 2d 1400 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 where the court set forth the eight factor to consider when assessing if a disclosure would have required undue experimentation. Citing Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BdApls 1986) at 547 the court recited eight factors:
- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary,
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided,
- 3) the presence of absence of working examples,
- 4) the nature of the invention,
- 5) the state of the prior art,



Application/Control Number: 09/981,025

Art Unit: 1617

- 6) the relative skill of those in the art,
- 7) the predictability of the art, and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

Particularly, the specification, or the claims, does not provide proper guidance, direction, or working examples, for identify neurokinin-mediated disorders other than those listed herein. The claimed invention is directed to treating disorders defined by certain biological process.

Applicants fail to provide information allowing skilled artisan to ascertain these disorders without undue experimentation. In the instant case, no method is provide for identifying such disorders, thereby failing to provide sufficient working examples. The medicinal art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed of physiological activity. The instant claims reach through the whole medicinal field necessitating an exhaustive search for the embodiments suitable to practice the claimed invention, absent undue experimentation.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/981,025

Art Unit: 1617

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Patent Examiner

Shengjun Wang

November 13, 2003